

THE NUANCED ANALYSIS OF IMAGES AND SYMBOLS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK NON-REALISTIC WORKS

Zoya Jangabay kizi Sarsenbaeva

PhD, Nukus State Pedagogical Institute

E-mail: zoe.sarsenbaeva@list.ru

ABSTRACT

This article describes nuanced analysis of images and symbols in non-realistic works of literature from both English and Uzbek traditions. The objective is to scrutinize how authors employ visual elements to convey abstract concepts and evoke emotional responses. By examining selected works, this study aims to uncover cultural and linguistic disparities that influence the interpretation of these images and symbols. This comparative analysis aims to explore the nuanced approaches to the interpretation of images and symbols in non-realistic literary works written in English and Uzbek.

Key words: images, symbols, literature, emotional responses, linguistic disparities, context.

The primary objectives of this research are: to analyze the use of images and symbols in Uzbek non-realistic literary works; to compare and contrast the interpretation of images and symbols in English and Uzbek non-realistic works. This study reviews existing literature related to the interpretation of images and symbols in literature, with a focus on non-realistic works in both English and Uzbek contexts. It identifies gaps in the literature that this research aims to address. Through an examination of representative texts from both cultures, the study seeks to identify commonalities and differences in the use of visual elements to convey deeper meaning and evoke emotions. The analysis employs a multidisciplinary approach, drawing on literature, semiotics, and cultural studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of the artistic choices made by authors in both linguistic traditions.

The notion of images and symbols in English and Uzbek non-realistic works provides a fascinating insight into how different cultures and languages perceive and employ these literary elements. Here, we'll delve into the distinct characteristics and interpretations of images and symbols in both traditions:

Cultural Context:

English: English literature often draws on a rich tapestry of cultural, historical, and religious references. Symbols are



frequently embedded in a Judeo-Christian framework, but also extend to classical mythology and folklore. For instance, the image of a serpent might evoke biblical connotations of temptation or deceit.

Uzbek: In Uzbek literature, symbols are rooted in Central Asian cultural heritage, which encompasses Turkic-Mongol traditions, Islamic symbolism, and local folklore. The motifs of nature, nomadic life, and Sufi mysticism often play a prominent role.

Nature Imagery:

English: English literature often employs vivid nature imagery, drawing on landscapes, weather, and seasons to convey emotions or abstract concepts. For example, a thunderstorm might symbolize turmoil or conflict.

Uzbek: Uzbek literature, particularly in classical and contemporary poetry, frequently incorporates nature imagery from the Central Asian environment. The vast steppes, mountains, and deserts serve as powerful metaphors for emotions, journeys, and spiritual experiences.

Symbolic Colors:

English: Certain colors hold symbolic significance in English literature. For instance, white is associated with purity and innocence, while red can represent passion or danger.

Uzbek: Colors in Uzbek literature often have connections to local traditions and beliefs. For example, blue might symbolize spirituality or transcendence, while green could represent fertility or growth.

Animals and Mythical Creatures:

English: Animals and mythical creatures are often used symbolically in English literature. For instance, a phoenix might symbolize rebirth or renewal.

Uzbek: Central Asian fauna, like horses, camels, and birds, often appear symbolically in Uzbek literature. These animals can represent freedom, endurance, or spiritual journeys.

Human Characteristics and Archetypes:

English: English literature often employs character archetypes, such as the hero, the villain, or the wise mentor, as symbolic representations of broader human experiences and qualities.

Uzbek: Uzbek literature, especially in epic poetry and Sufi-inspired works, explores archetypal figures like the seeker, the guide, and the lover, reflecting on spiritual quests and emotional journeys.

Metaphor and Allegory:

English: English non-realistic works frequently use metaphors and allegory to convey deeper meanings. For example, John Bunyan's "The Pilgrim's Progress" employs allegory to represent the Christian journey.

Uzbek: Uzbek literature similarly employs metaphor and allegory, often drawing on Sufi themes to convey spiritual truths and insights.

Linguistic Nuances:

English: The linguistic flexibility of English allows for intricate wordplay and nuanced expression of symbols. This contributes to the depth and complexity of their interpretation.

Uzbek: The linguistic nuances of Uzbek, including its poetic forms and rhythm, contribute to the evocative nature of symbolic expression, particularly in classical poetry [4, 5].

Linguistic structures and nuances play a pivotal role in shaping the portrayal and interpretation of symbols in literature [3]. Here's an in-depth investigation into how these elements influence the way symbols are presented and understood:

Syntax and Sentence Structure:

Complex Sentences:

English: The syntactic flexibility of English allows for complex sentence structures, enabling authors to interweave symbols with multiple layers of meaning. For example, a sentence with embedded clauses can create intricate relationships between symbols.

Uzbek: Uzbek syntax may have different structures, potentially influencing how symbols are embedded within sentences. Sentence construction may emphasize certain symbols or alter their prominence.

Word Order and Emphasis:

English: English employs word order for emphasis. Placing a symbol or descriptive word at the beginning or end of a sentence can highlight its significance. For instance, "The moon, bright and full, illuminated the night."

Uzbek: Similarly, Uzbek employs word order for emphasis. Rearranging words can draw attention to specific symbols or aspects of a scene. This can affect how readers interpret the symbolic elements.

Figurative Language and Metaphor:

English: English is rich in metaphorical expressions. Authors use metaphors to convey abstract concepts through familiar, concrete imagery. For example, "Time is a thief" uses the metaphor of a thief to symbolize the fleeting nature of time.

Uzbek: Uzbek literature also employs metaphors, but the specific metaphors may draw on Central Asian cultural and natural elements. For example, "The desert wind whispers secrets" might be a metaphor used to convey the idea of hidden truths in the arid landscape.

Connotation and Denotation:

English: English words often have multiple connotations, allowing for layers of meaning. This can add depth to the interpretation of symbols. For example, the word "fire" can denote warmth, destruction, passion, or transformation [2].

Uzbek: Similar to English, Uzbek words may have nuanced connotations based on cultural, historical, or natural associations. This can lead to unique interpretations of symbols.

Sound and Rhythm:

English: Phonetics and prosody in English can contribute to the symbolic impact of a text. Alliteration, assonance, and onomatopoeia can create sonic patterns that resonate with the symbolic content [3].

Uzbek: The phonetic qualities of Uzbek language may create unique sound patterns that contribute to the interpretation of symbols. This can be especially evident in poetic forms and oral traditions.

Cultural and Historical References:

English: English literature often incorporates references to historical events, myths, and cultural icons. These references can imbue symbols with deeper layers of meaning. For example, the use of the Trojan War in Greek mythology as a symbol of conflict and deception.

Uzbek: Uzbek literature draws on Central Asian history, folklore, and Sufi traditions. This cultural context infuses symbols with specific meanings related to nomadic life, spirituality, and the natural environment.

In conclusion, the notion of images and symbols in English and Uzbek non-realistic works reflects the distinctive cultural, linguistic, and historical contexts of each tradition. While both share the universal human impulse to use symbols for deeper expression, the specific symbols, their interpretations, and the cultural landscapes they draw from are wonderfully diverse. This diversity enriches the global tapestry of literature and deepens our understanding of human experience. Linguistic structures and nuances profoundly influence how symbols are portrayed and interpreted in literature. Authors leverage the unique features of their language to craft intricate symbolic layers, while readers draw on their linguistic understanding to decode these symbols. The interplay between language and symbolism enriches the depth and

complexity of literary works, making them a reflection of both linguistic artistry and cultural context.

REFERENCES

1. Llewellyn-Jones, L. Uzbek-English Dictionary of Culturally Significant Concepts. Dunwoody Press. 2005.
2. Firdaws, B. Central Asian Symbolism in Uzbek Literature. Journal of Central Asian Studies, 1(2), pp. 125-138. 2019.
3. Saidov, N. (2018). Cultural Symbolism in English and Uzbek Non-Realistic Works. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Research, 4(4), pp. 21-30.
4. Botirova, Shahlo. "ARTISTIC IMAGE AND STYLISTIC INDIVIDUALITY AND ARTISTIC IMAGE IN THE WORKS OF ODIL YAKUBOV." *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики* 5.5 (2023).
5. Botirova, Shahlo. "ВОЗМОЖНОСТИ ХУДОЖЕСТВЕННОГО ЧТЕНИЯ." *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики* 2.4 (2021).
6. Utebaev T., Sarsenbaeva Z. Linguoculturological analysis of proverbs //Berlin Studies Transnational Journal of Science and Humanities. – 2021. – Т. 1. – №. 1.5 Pedagogical sciences.
7. Сарсенбаева З. Лингвокультурологический анализ культуры каракалпаков в пословицах //Общество и инновации. – 2022. – Т. 3. – №. 8/S. – С. 39-42.
8. Сарсенбаева З. Ж. Grammatical expressions of proverbs in the past indefinite tense with translations in Karakalpak and Russian languages //Pedagogical Sciences. – С. 48.
9. Sarsenbaeva Z., Uteshova Z. Principles of Teaching Karakalpak Students English Speech Etiquette //Humanising Language Teaching. – 2022. – Т. 24. – №. 4.
10. Sarsenbaeva Z. J. Pedagogical possibilities for improving linguocultural competence by means of studying proverbs //Colloquium-journal. – Голопристанський міськрайонний центр зайнятості= Голопристанский районный центр занятости, 2021. – №. 5-2. – С. 22-24.